

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,796	10/06/2004	David R. Hall	66.0072	5795
38046 JEFFREY E.	7590 10/01/2007 ·		EXAMINER	
INTELLISER	RV, INC	WONG, ALBERT KANG		
400 N. SAM SUITE 900	AM HOUSTON PARKWAY EAST 00 0N, TX 77060		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,796	HALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Albert K. Wong	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON.	Timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	July 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13,15-19 and 21-23 is/are pending	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-19 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-19 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2004</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
A44						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions Summer	n/ (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/711,796

Art Unit: 2612

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 6, 2007. Claims 1-13, 15-19, and 21-23 are pending. Claims 14 And 20 have been cancelled. The prior rejections have been withdrawn in view of the amendment and remarks.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 15-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fincher et al (2005/0024231).

Regarding claim 13, Fincher discloses the claimed pressure sensor at the telemetry stations located on the nodes of the tubular string. The tubular string constitutes a segmented transmission path that is inductively coupled (see para. 64). Signals are sent along the transmission path and received by another node. Fincher does not explicitly teach the step of performing an automated response at a second location. However, Fincher teaches that the transmission path is used for control as well as telemetry purposes. Wisler teaches the use of pressure sensors to detect abnormal conditions that may lead to blowout. Further Wisler teaches the transmission of signals to control devices to prevent a blowout. The claimed sensor is shown in Figure 1a; the claimed receiver is shown as item 30. Wisler teaches in col. 4, lines 20-30 that the signals from the sensors are sent to a blow out preventer (BOP). A BOP is a device activated in response to measured conditions that an anomalous to prevent a blow out event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the detection of an anomalous condition

Application/Control Number: 10/711,796

Art Unit: 2612

downhole would result in an automated response at the BOP since the signal is sent to the BOP. Further, it would have been obvious to modify Fincher for the purpose described in Wisler.

Regarding claim 15, the detection of pressure kicks, blowouts, and loss of circulation to detect a blowout condition is considered admitted prior art. It would have been obvious to measure for such conditions to prevent a blowout.

Regarding claim 16, Wisler shows a blowout preventer acting as a receiver because it receives the signal from the sensors.

Regarding claim 17, Wisler shows a BOP. Such a device performs a blowout prevention action.

Regarding claim 18, it would have been obvious for a receiver to actuate the BOP since that is the function of the device. Otherwise, there would be no purpose for the receiver to receive the signal.

Regarding claim 19, see Figure 2 of Wisler and location of BOP.

Regarding claim 21, the sensor in Wisler is located near the bottom of the tool string.

Regarding claim 22, see item 51 of Wisler.

Regarding claim 23, one of ordinary skill in the art would be aware of the various types of BOPs. Wisler teaches the use of a BOP, but does not specify what kind. It would have been obvious to use one of the conventional BOPs for their known functions.

Regarding claims 1-6 and 8-12, these are the method equivalent of the apparatus claims. Since the apparatus has been shown to be obvious, the method of using the apparatus in its intended manner would also have been obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,796

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 7, it would have been obvious to perform an automated response immediately since a delay may result in a blowout.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert K. Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian A. Zimmerman can be reached on 571-272-3059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Albert K. Wong September 26, 2007

> ALBERT K. WONG PRIMARY EXAMINER